1	Michael Kind, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No.: 13903	
3	KIND LAW	
	8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 10	06
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	
5	(702) 337-2322	
6	(702) 329-5881 (fax) mk@kindlaw.com	
7		
8	George Haines, Esq.	
9	Nevada Bar No.: 9411	
	Gerardo Avalos, Esq. Nevada Bar No.: 15171	
LO	FREEDOM LAW FIRM	
11	8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	
L3	(702) 880-5554	
L4	(702) 385-5518 (fax)	
15	Ghaines@freedomlegalteam.com	
	Counsel for Plaintiff Yessica C. Salazar	
16	IINITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
L7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
18	DISTRICT	OF NE VADA
١9	Yessica C. Salazar,	Case No.:
20	Dlaintiff	Complaint for damages under the
21	Plaintiff, v.	Complaint for damages under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act
22		15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
23	Collecto, Inc., d/b/a EOS CCA,	
	Defendant	Jury trial demanded
24	Defendant.	
25		
26		
7		

1 Introduction

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

- 1. In enacting the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (the "FDCPA"), Congress found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to bankruptcies, marital instability, the loss of jobs, and invasions of individual privacy. The FDCPA is intended to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors. The Act ensures that law-abiding debt collectors are not competitively disadvantaged, and protects consumers against debt collection uniformly among the States.
- 2. Yessica C. Salazar ("Plaintiff"), by counsel, brings this action to challenge the actions of Collecto, Inc. d/b/a EOS CCA ("Collecto" or "Defendant"), with regard to Defendant's unlawful attempts to collect debt from Plaintiff, causing harm to Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of
 those allegations that pertain to Plaintiff, which Plaintiff alleges on personal
 knowledge.
- 4. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- 19 5. Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Defendant took place in20 Nevada.
- 21 6. Any violations by Defendant were knowing, willful, and intentional, and Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violations.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction).
- 27 8. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the FDCPA.

- 9. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in Nevada, as it is registered with the Nevada Secretary of State and conducts business in Nevada, and attempted to collect debt from Plaintiff in Nevada.
- 4 10.Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because all the conduct giving rise to this complaint occurred in Nevada.

6 Parties

- 7 | 11. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in Clark County, Nevada.
- 8 | 12.Plaintiff is alleged to owe a debt, and is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 | U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 10 | 13.Defendant is a company that offers debt collection services.
- 14.Defendant uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a

 12 business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts; or who

 13 regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due

 14 or asserted to be owed or due another; and is a debt collector as defined by 15

 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 16 | 15.Collecto is a corporation doing business in the State of Nevada.
- 16.Unless otherwise indicated, the use of Defendant's name in this Complaint includes all agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers of the named Defendant.

Factual allegations

- 17. The following is some of the information Plaintiff is currently aware of, and it is expected that after Plaintiff conducts discovery, these allegations will be bolstered and enhanced through discovery.
- 25 | 18.Plaintiff is alleged to have owed a debt to College Loan Crp/1st Century Bank for a private student loan from around 2007 (the "debt").

27

21

22

23

24

19. The debt was incurred primarily for personal, family or household purposes and 1 is therefore a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5). 2 3 20. The validity of the alleged debt is immaterial to this action, and Plaintiff currently 4 takes no position as to its validity. 21. Sometime thereafter, Defendant was assigned the right to collect on the debt. 5 Defendant was attempting to unlawfully collect an amount that was neither 6 7 expressly authorized by any agreement nor permitted by law 22.On or around January 1, 2021, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter for the purposes of 8 9 collecting on the debt. 23.In the January 2021 collections letter, Defendant alleged that Plaintiff owed 10 \$64,013.98 and assigned Plaintiff the account number 34-6642334. 11 24. The January Collection Letter also stated that "This is a communication from a 12 debt collector. This is an attempt to collect a debt and any information obtained 13 will be used for that purpose." 14 25.In a subsequent collections letter dated April 9, 2020, referencing the same 15 account number 34-6642334, Defendant offered Plaintiff a settlement for 16 17 \$43,929.37. 26. Plaintiff has never made any payments on the private student loan in 14 years. 18 27. The Statute of Limitations for Defendant or the original creditor to collect on the 19 20 debt has already passed. 28.As such, Defendant was attempting to collect an amount that it was not entitled 21 22 to. 23 29.Under the FDCPA, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The Act prohibits 24 "the collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense 25 incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly authorized 26

27

by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1).

Plaintiff's damages 1 30.Plaintiff has suffered emotional distress and mental anguish as a result of 2 Defendant's actions described herein. In addition, Plaintiff incurred out-of-pocket 3 costs and time in attempts to dispute Defendant's actions. Plaintiff further 4 suffered humiliation and embarrassment when Plaintiff needed to seek the help of 5 others, including friends, family, and an attorney, because Plaintiff felt helpless 6 7 against Defendant. **First Cause of Action** 8 9 **Fair Debt Collection Practices Act** 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. 10 31. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs of 11 the Complaint as though fully stated herein. 12 32.Defendant's conduct violated § 1692d in that Defendant engaged in conduct the 13 14 natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt. Specifically, Defendant's continued 15 demands for Plaintiff to pay had the natural consequence to harass, oppress, or 16 17 abuse a consumer. 33.Defendant's conduct violated § 1692e by using false, deceptive, and misleading 18 representations and means in connection with the collection of any debt. 19 34.Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendant falsely 20 represented the legal status of the debt. 21 35.Defendant's conduct violated § 1692e(5) by threatening to take action against 22 Plaintiff which could not be legally taken in connection with the debt. 23 36.Defendant's conduct violated § 1692e(10) in that Defendant employed various 24 25 false representations and deceptive means in an attempt to collect the debt from Plaintiff. 26 27

- 5 -

Complaint

1	37.Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendant used unfair	
2	and unconscionable means to collect a debt .	
3	38.Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(l) in that Defendant attempted to	
4	collect an amount not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or	
5	permitted by law.	
6	39. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of	
7	the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited	
8	provisions of the FDCPA.	
9	40.As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to	
10	actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1), statutory damages up to	
11	\$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and reasonable attorney's fees	
12	and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.	
13	Prayer for relief	
14	41. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant, and	
15	Plaintiff be awarded damages from Defendant, as follows:	
16	 An award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); 	
17	 An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 	
18	1692k(a)(2)(A);	
19	 An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant 	
20	to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3); and	
21	 Any other relief that this Court deems just and proper. 	
22	/// ///	
23		
24	///	
25	/// ///	
26	/// ///	
27	///	

1	Jury Demand	
2	42.Pursuant to the Seventh Amendment to the Constitution of the United States of	
3	America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.	
4		
5	Dated: June 25, 2021.	
6	Dognostfully, submitted	
7	Respectfully submitted,	
8	Kind Law	
9		
10	/s/ Michael Kind	
11	Michael Kind, Esq. 8860 South Maryland Parkway, Suite 106	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	
13		
	Freedom Law Firm	
14	<u>/s/ George Haines</u>	
15	George Haines, Esq.	
16	Gerardo Avalos, Esq.	
17	8985 S. Eastern Ave., Suite 350	
18	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Counsel for Plaintiff Yessica C. Salazar	
19	Gounsel for Truming Tessieu Grounden	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		